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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=294 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.009 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.042$
$w R$ factor $=0.111$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=14.3$

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## Bis(2-methyl-1H-imidazole)silver(I) nitrate methanol solvate

The title complex, $\left[\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, features a mononuclear cation in which the $\mathrm{Ag}^{1}$ atom is coordinated in a linear fashion by two N atoms derived from the 2-methylimidazole ligands. The cations, anions and methanol molecules are linked by hydrogen bonds into a chain structure.

## Comment

Imidazole and its derivatives have important biochemical functions, e.g. histidine as a metal ion-binding site plays an essential role in metalloenzymes (Sundberg et al., 1977). Several supramolecular complexes containing 2-methylimidazole and metal salts have been prepared in recent years. Owing to their interesting architectures, they have potential applications in materials science (Huang et al., 2004, 2006). In this paper, we report the crystal structure of the title compound, (I).

(I)

The Ag atom of the cation in (I) (Fig. 1) is coordinated by two 2-methylimidazole ligands. The $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances are 2.078 (4) and 2.084 (5) $\AA$, values that agree well with those in related Ag complexes (Wang et al., 2004). The Ag...O3 separation is 2.807 (6) $\AA$, suggesting the existence of a weak interaction between these atoms. This Ag...O interaction could be responsible for the deviation of the $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{N} 3$ angle of 174.7 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ from the ideal value of $180^{\circ}$.

The nitrate anion and methanol molecule play important roles in connecting the cations through hydrogen bonds, which are detailed in Table 1. These lead to the formation of a chain motif, as shown in Fig. 2.

## Experimental

Siver(I) nitrate ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.085 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and 2-methylimidazole ( 1 mmol , 0.082 g ) were dissolved in a mixed solvent of ethyl acetate ( 8 ml ) and methanol ( 8 ml ). After allowing the solution to stand in air for 2 d , colourless block-shaped single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of the solvent.


Figure 1
The asymmetric unit of (I), showing $40 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids and the atomic numbering.


Figure 2
The crystal packing in (I), viewed approximately down the $a$ axis. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

## Crystal data

| $\left[\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ | $Z=4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M_{r}=366.14$ | $D_{x}=1.743 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$ | Mo $K \alpha$ radiation |
| $a=7.205(5) \AA$ | $\mu=1.46 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $b=13.857(3) \AA$ | $T=294(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| $c=13.978(4) \AA$ | Block, colourless |
| $\beta=90.74(4)^{\circ}$ | $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $V=1395.4(11) \AA^{3}$ |  |

## Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer
$\omega / 2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: none
2937 measured reflections
2545 independent reflections
$Z=4$
$x_{x}=1.743 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}$
$\mu=1.46 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=294$ (2) K
$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \mathrm{~mm}$

1380 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.015$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.4^{\circ}$
3 standard reflections every 300 reflections intensity decay: $1.1 \%$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
H -atom parameters constrained
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.042$ $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0562 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.111$
$S=0.97$
2545 reflections
178 parameters
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.68$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-1.11 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 N \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.86 | 2.02 | $2.879(7)$ | 172 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 N \cdots \mathrm{O} 4$ | 0.86 | 1.95 | $2.795(7)$ | 169 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 O \cdots 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.82 | 2.13 | $2.943(7)$ | 170 |
| Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2} ;$; (ii) $-x+1, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{3}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |

All H atoms were placed in idealized positions, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93-$ $0.96 \AA, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}=0.86 \AA$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.82 \AA$, and with a common refined $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=0.124$ (11) $\AA^{2}$ for methyl H and $0.044(6) \AA^{2}$ for the remaining H atoms. The deepest hole is localted $0.07 \AA$ from atom Ag1.

Data collection: DIFRAC (Gabe \& White, 1993); cell refinement: DIFRAC; data reduction: NRCVAX (Gabe et al., 1989); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997) and MERCURY (Version 1.2; Bruno et al., 2002); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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